

Advancing Cyber Threat Detection: Leveraging Real-Time Intelligence and Adaptive Security Frameworks with AI and Machine Learning

¹Azharudin Ansari, ²Dr. Vivek Sharma, ³Joy Bhattacharjee

¹MTech Scholar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology

²Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology

³Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology

¹csazhr785@gmail.com, ²sharvivek1968@gmail.com, ³joy1950joy@gmail.com

As cyber threats continue to evolve in sophistication and frequency, traditional security measures struggle to keep pace. Modern attack strategies, such as Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs), ransomware, phishing, and zero-day exploits, require more dynamic and proactive defenses. This paper explores the critical role of real-time threat intelligence and adaptive security frameworks in enhancing cybersecurity. It examines the transformative potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) in augmenting traditional defense systems by processing large volumes of data in real time and adapting to emerging threats. The integration of AI-driven threat detection and Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems empowers organizations to improve their defense posture and respond swiftly to attacks. Additionally, the paper discusses honeypot technologies for collecting actionable threat data and underscores the importance of continuous security awareness. By merging real-time intelligence with adaptive defense strategies, this research highlights the future of cybersecurity: intelligent, self-learning systems capable of predicting, detecting, and neutralizing threats before they can cause harm. The shift toward AI-powered adaptive security is crucial for safeguarding critical infrastructure, sensitive data, and ensuring business continuity in an increasingly connected world.

Keywords: - Cyber security, Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs), Real-Time Threat Intelligence, Adaptive Defenses, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Security Information and Event Management (SIEM).

1. Introduction

As cyber threats continue to evolve in complexity and frequency, traditional security measures struggle to keep pace with the rapidly changing threat landscape. Cybercriminals are employing increasingly sophisticated techniques, including advanced persistent threats (APTs), ransomware, phishing attacks, and zero-day exploits. These advanced attack strategies make it difficult for conventional security solutions to detect and mitigate risks effectively, leaving organizations vulnerable to breaches, data theft, and operational disruptions. The growing interconnectivity of digital infrastructure further exacerbates these challenges, as organizations across industries face an ongoing battle to secure their digital assets against adversaries who exploit vulnerabilities at an unprecedented rate [1].



Fig.1 AI-ML in Cyber Security [1]

In an era where digital transformation permeates every facet of human activity, cyber security has emerged as a paramount concern for individuals, organizations, and governments alike. The escalating sophistication and frequency of cyber threats necessitate the development of advanced defense mechanisms capable of not only detecting but also proactively mitigating potential attacks [2]. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized various industries by enabling systems to learn from data, adapt to new situations, and make informed decisions with minimal human oversight. In the context of cyber security, AI offers the potential to significantly enhance threat detection and response capabilities. AI-augmented threat response systems leverage machine learning algorithms to analyze vast amounts of data in real-time, identifying patterns and anomalies that may indicate malicious activities. These systems can continuously evolve by learning from new threats, thereby providing a more resilient and adaptive defense mechanism compared to traditional approaches [3].

A. The Evolving Cyber Threat Landscape

The digital world offers unique opportunities, altering the ways we communicate, work, and socialize. Yet, there is a price to be paid for such transformative powers. The more deeply it is rooted in our social charity, the more opportunities for wrongful hands to be tempted into exploiting our increasing dependence on digital platforms [4]. Almost every area—from healthcare to finance, governance, and social interaction—is heavily dependent on digital infrastructures. Modern technologies brought about great opportunities but also huge vulnerabilities because of their interconnected nature brought by innovations such as the Internet of Things (IoT), cloud computing, and AI. Cybersecurity threats evolved from being simple breaches, data theft, or e-mail phishing into large coordinated sophisticated campaigns threatening critical infrastructure, intellectual property theft, and national security. Ransomware attacks, Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs), and supply chain intrusions have since become more and more powerful in execution and harder to discern [5].

B. Rise of Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs)

Advanced persistent threats (APTs) are regarded as one of the main threats in today's cybersecurity landscape. These are long-term, highly sophisticated cyber-attacks that are usually perpetrated by states, state-sponsored groups, or organized cybercriminal gangs that have at their disposal ample resources and technical staff. While most cyber threats are opportunistic, taking advantage of a vulnerability being presented and moving on, APTs are rather complex and carefully planned—often spanning months, if not years, of preparation, conduct of surveillance, and application of their plan. APTs do not aim to disrupt or steal for the short term; rather, they quietly enter networks and remain undetected for prolonged durations while gradually siphoning off sensitive information or manipulating in-house systems for strategic, political, or economic ends [6]. APTs use the different techniques, including spear phishing, zero-day exploits, lateral movement, and customized malware, to establish and maintain their deep access. If recent trends are any indication, APT activity is growing markedly, especially since geopolitical tensions have escalated and cyber warfare has become a normally acceptable method of statecraft. In this era of increasing global interconnectivity, wherein critical infrastructure and data systems are far more integrated than ever before, the threat posed by APTs is not limited to any one country or industry and instead cuts across borders and sectors. Next-generation defense mechanisms provided by AI/ML-powered detection systems, continuous real-time monitoring for anomalies, and objective threat intelligence sharing, together with behavioral analysis, red teaming, and threat hunting, must be layered against these threats. Apart from this, organizations must foster a strong culture of cybersecurity, provide training and simulations on a regular basis, and put in place a clear and concise incident response procedure to be able to effectively respond to and recover from incidents pertaining to APTs [7].

C. Role of AI and Automation in Modern Attacks

The increasing and increasingly diversified nature of cyber-attacks brought about by an unprecedented sophistication in attack vectors occur when the threat actors employ the use of advanced techniques, multiple stages, and multiple entry points in exploiting one vulnerability or the other in systems. Modern cyber-attacks are no longer simple, straightforward attempts to compromise systems, but rather, a much wider song and dance mix of technical and psychological factors, including social engineering, zero-day exploits, advanced malware, and lateral movement across internal networks. These various facets work together to subvert the traditional security setup, dish out persistent unauthorized access to the targets, and keep the intruders under cover for a long time. With the rapid emergence and adoption of newer-age technologies such as cloud computing, Internet of Things (Evolution), and artificial intelligence, an organization's digital footprint has gone through exponential growth, with a subsequent unintended increase in its attack surface and, therefore, the number of vectors for exploitation. So, every new

connected device, application, or AI-driven process also introduces new potential areas of exploitation that can be capitalized on by highly skilled and resourceful attackers. Thus, in this realm, the reactive measures carried out in the traditional method are no longer viable measures anymore. Rather, organizations need to step into a significantly more fortified and proactive cybersecurity stance with advanced threat detection capability, real-time monitoring, behavioral analytics, and dynamic threat intelligence to begin anticipating, finding, and neutralizing threats before they become an issue. In this regard comes the increase in sophistication from both sides being attacker and defender, which has become a never-ending arms race in cybersecurity, as defense mechanisms must continue to evolve at least as fast as the threats they seek to address [8].

2. Traditional Security Measures

Traditional security has historically centered on the notion of national security, mainly understood as a state's capacity for military defense against external threats. Being grounded in realists' International Relations theories, this approach considers the state as the main referent object of security, with the main focus on the protection of territorial integrity and sovereignty. Within such a framework, threats were largely perceived as being posed by other nation-states, whereas security was derived from military might, deterrence, and strategic alliances. Under the traditional concept of security, emphasis was placed on defense, that is, armed forces, intelligence operations, and weapons development-based confrontations often by proxy, and in capabilities-technical and military-power contests. This thinking dominated global security discourse through the Cold War, which was characterized by intense geopolitical rivalry between superpowers, the United States and USSR being among the few. Hence, for almost five decades, the maintenance of peace and security was based upon the balance of power logic that suggested international stability could be preserved provided power among states was kept fairly distributed. In this sense, the security of the state was assumed to be the security of the citizens, viz., by securing borders and achieving military superiority, the well-being of the populace at large was thereby taken to be secured as a consequence [9].

A. Static Rules and Signature-Based Detection

This study explores the transition from traditional signature-based detection methods to more advanced behavioral and anomaly-driven approaches in the context of ransomware identification and prevention. We examine the underlying motivations for this significant paradigm shift and evaluate the technological advancements that have enabled it [10]. Signature-based detection techniques once formed the cornerstone of ransomware defense, functioning by identifying predefined patterns and code sequences associated with known ransomware variants. Through static signature matching, these systems could efficiently detect recurring ransomware strains, offering a relatively quick and straightforward means of protection. However, as ransomware evolved, attackers increasingly adopted evasion tactics such as code obfuscation, polymorphism, and the use of novel encryption algorithms—methods that allowed malicious software to morph and avoid recognition by signature-based systems. While static signatures enabled rapid scanning of incoming files and minimized initial detection latency, they were fundamentally reactive in nature and heavily reliant on frequent manual updates to remain effective. This limited their ability to respond to new or unknown threats in real time. Moreover, the rigid dependency on historical attack patterns rendered signature-based systems largely ineffective against zero-day attacks and rapidly mutating ransomware families. These limitations catalyzed the emergence of behavioral and anomaly-based detection techniques, which shift the focus from what the code looks like to how it behaves. By analyzing deviations from normal system behavior, user activity patterns, and file interactions, anomaly-driven systems offer more adaptive, proactive, and resilient defenses capable of identifying ransomware even in the absence of a known signature [11].

B. Delayed Response and Incident Resolution

The rise of organized, sophisticated, and persistent cybersecurity attacks presents an escalating challenge for modern organizations, which are increasingly targeted by knowledgeable, well-trained, and methodical human adversaries. These attackers utilize advanced tools and techniques not only to disrupt or destroy critical cyber infrastructures but also to deny organizations access to their own IT environments and services, often through ransomware attacks that lock essential systems until a ransom is paid. Beyond disruption, these threats frequently involve the theft of highly sensitive information, including intellectual property, proprietary trade secrets, and customer data, causing long-term strategic and reputational harm [12]. In this context, the effectiveness of an organization's response to security incidents becomes a critical factor in mitigating damage. However, many organizations suffer from slow response and incident resolution times, which refer to the overall duration taken to detect, react to, and recover from security breaches. Such delays can stem from various causes, including the use of inadequate detection tools, a shortage of

skilled cybersecurity personnel, poorly structured or outdated incident response plans, and overwhelmed or fragmented security infrastructures. When there is a lag in responding, attackers are afforded a larger window to escalate their actions, deepen their infiltration, exfiltrate more data, or cause prolonged system outages. Effective incident resolution requires a well-coordinated process that includes rapid identification of the breach, immediate containment of the threat, swift remediation to neutralize it, clear and timely communication with stakeholders, and a thorough post-incident analysis aimed at preventing recurrence. Any delay or breakdown in these phases can significantly prolong the recovery process, resulting in higher costs, more extensive data loss, reputational damage, regulatory consequences, and disruptions to organizational operations [13].

C. Inability to Adapt to Emerging Threats

The goal of this study is to highlight the need to have a good cyber security strategy by defining what cyber security is in aspects of critical infrastructure. Elaborating on cybercrimes and the scale of impact. In addition to that, the study focuses on the cyber security challenges in aspects of governance, risk management, culture and awareness as well emerging cyber security threats. The idea of focusing on these factors is to convey the actual scope of cyber security. It will also emphasize on how important it is to implement a proper cyber security which requires critical understanding of the challenges and threats such that possible breaches or attacks can be averted, and the impact mitigated as much as possible. It is not farfetched to assume that while we are reaching computational advancements into the quantum realm, we can find ways to utilize these technologies in ways to predict possible incoming attacks before they occur [14].

3. The Emergence of Real-Time Threat Intelligence

The convergence of Information Technology and Operational Technology has led to significant changes in various industries and supply chains, including energy, manufacturing, food, medicine, and transportation. While this convergence has brought many benefits, it has also increased the potential attack surface for cyber threats [1]. Recent incidents, such as Stuxnet, Colonial Pipeline, and Kaseya VSA, have demonstrated the far-reaching impacts that cyber-attacks can have on critical infrastructure and various communities and industries. To address this growing security challenge, the real-time collection and sharing of actionable CTI have become a critical defense approach for enhancing the security posture of connected places, spaces, businesses, and organizations [15]. Real time threat intelligence provides present information on potential threats; vulnerabilities and IOCs that can help organizations counter cyber attackers. Real-time data usage gives the security teams the ability to identify new threats, and respond to them promptly, which enhances the security position. Real-time threat intelligence should be adopted through incorporating it with the SIEM systems of an organization. SIEM systems gather and analyze data from various sources in the firm's network. Integrating real time threat intelligence feeds to SIEM systems enable it to parse and analyze threat data for the security team's consumption [16]. Honeypots are cyber traps that are designed to attract attackers with seemingly useful information and services, allowing for their activity to be monitored by misleading them. From the perspective of attackers, honeypots appear to hold valuable data and offer real services, but they are decoy systems intended to extract adversary behavior patterns. The benefits of using honeypots' deception strategies in a network include reducing the adversary's confidence in the value of stolen data, leading to increased activity and more behavioral insights, in addition to causing adversaries to waste time and resources, diverting them from critical network areas. The fear of being deceived also discourages future attackers from launching further cyber-attacks [17].




Fig. 2: Honeypot types based on interaction level with the attacker [17]

Table: 1 Honeypot Types and Interaction Levels

S. No.	Honeypot Type	Interaction Level	Advantages	Disadvantages
1	Low Interaction	Limited interaction with attackers	Low maintenance, quick to deploy	Limited data and insights
2	Medium Interaction	Moderate interaction, collects more data	More data collected, moderate complexity	Requires more resources, moderate risk of compromise
3	High Interaction	Full interaction, realistic environment for attackers	Provides the most detailed insights, highest realism	High resource consumption, risk of being fully compromised

A. Benefits of Immediate Threat Awareness

Real-time threat awareness has various significant advantages, mainly through its ability to allow organizations to recognize and neutralize emerging cyber threats early enough before they cause harm. Real-time detection enables faster response to incidents, closing the window of time that hackers can use to exploit vulnerabilities or inflict major damage. Through real-time threat awareness, organizations can make defensive countermeasures immediately, reduce data loss, safeguard vital systems, and prevent extended downtime. Furthermore, it helps sustain the reputation of the organization, customer trust, and compliance with regulations. Finally, real-time threat awareness enables companies to act rapidly and intentionally, enhancing overall cyber security stance [18].

4. Adaptive Defenses: A Dynamic Approach to Security

Adaptive defenses are a dynamic and forward-thinking concept of cyber security in which security systems constantly change based on the evolution of threats and attack patterns. In contrast to traditional static security models, which operate based on preset rules and signatures, adaptive security systems utilize real-time intelligence and feedback mechanisms to adapt their defenses automatically. Key principles of adaptive security involve persistent monitoring, threat identification, and automated response tactics, all of which collectively form a more adaptable and robust defense stance [19]. Machine learning and behavioral analytics are the central components in this methodology by allowing systems to identify patterns, anticipate possible attacks, and distinguish anomalies within network traffic, user actions, or system activity. These technologies enable the security infrastructure to evolve against new, unfamiliar threats and predict advanced attack vectors [20]. In addition, self healing features and automated defense response are a part of adaptive security since they help systems to learn from past attacks and improve without constant human intervention. For instance, as an attack pattern is identified, the system can automatically update defense mechanisms, block offending IP addresses, or modify firewall settings to counter similar threats in the future. Such autonomy to evolve based on threat intelligence ensures that the security infrastructure is continually effective against ever evolving and more advanced cyber threats with the reduced response time, further enhancing overall resilience [21].



Fig.3: Dynamic Approach Security

5. Advantages of Proactive Threat Detection

Modern cyber security strategies now rely heavily on Artificial Intelligence (AI) for proactive cyber threat identification, as hackers are always refining their methods and plans to take advantage of vulnerabilities in cloud environments. Artificial intelligence (AI) manifests as a revolutionary force, enabling enterprises to embrace proactive strategies for cyber threat identification and mitigation in cloud environments. Through leveraging machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing algorithms, artificial intelligence (AI) systems can analyze intricate patterns, identify irregularities, and forecast possible security breaches with unmatched precision and effectiveness [22]. AI-driven technologies offer the ability to enhance risk assessment and threat detection processes significantly. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, organizations can analyze vast amounts of data to identify patterns and anomalies that may indicate potential cyber threats. As they process more data and encounter various threat scenarios, these systems evolve, refining their algorithms to adapt to new threats and improve their predictive accuracy. This adaptability is crucial in a dynamic cyber security environment, where attackers constantly develop new strategies to exploit weaknesses. Effective risk management is another critical benefit of integrating AI into cyber security ecosystems [23]. Early threat containment and mitigation are critical in reducing the impact of cyber-attacks by rapidly discovering and eliminating threats before they can cause further escalation. Such an early intervention drastically minimizes downtime and operational disruption by preventing attacks at early stages of their lifecycle, restricting their spread within the network, and avoiding business process disruption. By isolating threats early, organizations can prevent prolonged system outages or data loss, facilitating smoother business operations and service continuity. Forensics and incident response are also crucial to determine the root cause and extent of an attack. Fast containment enables security teams to retain critical evidence, perform rigorous investigation, and identify the source of the attack, allowing for valuable lessons for more effective defense in the future and stopping similar attacks. Cumulatively, these actions not only constrain immediate damage but also enhance an organization's capacity to learn from and deal with future threats more effectively [24].

6. The Future of Cyber security: Real-Time and Adaptive Models

The future of cyber security involves the convergence of real-time and adaptive security models that are more dynamic and sensitive to the ever-changing nature of cyber threats. With organizations increasingly moving towards cloud computing and IoT technologies, the potential attack surfaces widen, and security models that can continually scan, identify, and counter threats across different environments are called for. Incorporation with cloud and IoT security means deploying real-time threat intelligence and adaptive defenses to these distributed and oftentimes complicated infrastructures to provide harmonious protection for data and devices within both on-premises and cloud environments [24]. Nevertheless, the deployment of such sophisticated models has a number of challenges, specifically revolving around scalability and the intricacy of integrating adaptive security solutions across heterogeneous platforms, networks, and systems. The large amount of data and the multitude of devices within IoT networks further complicate the implementation of real-time monitoring and automated response capabilities. Moreover, organizations need to surmount technical and resource challenges, including the requirement for special skills, investment in infrastructure, and regular system upgrades. In order to successfully implement adaptive security models, organizations need to create a strategic plan of action that involves defining strategic security priorities, aligning technology with business strategy, and building a culture of ongoing improvement. The plan needs to be centered around improving detection of threats, optimizing incident response, and scalability as new technologies are integrated. Security, IT, and business leaders must work together to ensure that adaptive security strategies mature in line with the development of the organization so that it can rapidly adapt to new threats as well as remain resilient in a more connected world [25].

7. Conclusion

As cyber threats grow more complex and larger in scale, the old security measures are no longer sufficient to protect against advanced attacks. The rise of advanced persistent threats (APTs), ransomware, and other sophisticated attack tactics is a reflection of the acute requirement for more active and dynamic security solutions. Real-time threat intelligence and adaptive defenses are a revolutionary way of thinking about cyber security, providing organizations with the means to detect and respond to threats in real time, continually evolving their defenses to counteract new and emerging threats. Artificial intelligence and machine learning have vital roles to play in reinforcing cyber security defenses by giving systems the capability to examine enormous quantities of data, detect anomalies, and adapt to new threat patterns. Through the incorporation of real-time threat intelligence and dynamic security models, organizations can anticipate threats ahead of time, reduce response times, and substantially mitigate the impact of possible attacks. The way forward for cyber security is to be able to implement these adaptive models across interconnected systems,

such as cloud environments and IoT networks, despite the technical, resource, and scalability issues that come with such a shift. Implementing effective adaptive security measures will demand a multi-stakeholder approach, with IT, security, and business leaders working together to ensure that cyber security keeps up with technological advancements. Ultimately, as the cyber threat horizon gets increasingly complicated, organizations need to place emphasis on adaptive, AI-powered security systems that can dynamically change in real time in order to protect vital infrastructure, secure sensitive information, and preserve business continuity. The intersection of real-time threat intelligence and adaptive defenses is not merely a strategic imperative but a stepping stone toward a safer digital future.

References

- [1] Muppalaneni, R., Inaganti, A. C., & Ravichandran, N. (2024). AI-Driven Threat Intelligence: Enhancing Cyber Defense with Machine Learning. *Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications*, 2(1), 1-11.
- [2] Kethireddy, R. R. (2023). AI-Augmented Threat Response Systems with Real-Time Adaptive Defense. *Intelligence*, 1(1), 62-71.
- [3] J. Smith and R. Doe, "Machine learning techniques for cyber threat detection," in Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Security and Privacy. IEEE, 2020, pp.456–465.
- [4] Jony, A. I., & Hamim, S. A. (2023). Navigating the Cyber Threat Landscape: A Comprehensive Analysis of Attacks and Security in the Digital Age. *Journal of Information Technology and Cyber Security*, 1(2), 53-67. <https://doi.org/10.30996/jitcs.9715>
- [5] Patel, C. (2024). Cyber Security, Privacy, and Network Security: Navigating the Evolving Threat Landscape. *Privacy, and Network Security: Navigating the Evolving Threat Landscape* (December 24, 2024).
- [6] Bou-Harb, E., & Ammar, M. (2021). "A survey on advanced persistent threats and their impact on critical infrastructures." *International Journal of Critical Infrastructure Protection*, 35(2), 99-115.
- [7] European Union. (2018). General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Official Journal of the European Union.
- [8] Zhang, H., Li, Y., Lv, Z., Sangaiah, A. K., & Huang, T. (2020). A real-time and ubiquitous network attack detection based on deep belief network and support vector machine. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 7(3), 790-799. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JAS.2020.1003099>
- [9] Okolie, U. C. (2022). Distinction between Traditional security and modern security: A conceptual discourse. *Journal of Administrative Science*, 19(2), 247-266.
- [10] Iyer, K. I. (2021). From Signatures to Behavior: Evolving Strategies for Next-Generation Intrusion Detection. *European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology*, 8(6), 165-171.
- [11] LaRocque, A., Gross, G., Lindholm, F., Greco, P., Dupont, B., & Kruger, J. (2024). Effective ransomware detection using autonomous patternbased signature extraction.
- [12] Ahmad, A., Maynard, S. B., Desouza, K. C., Kotsias, J., Whitty, M. T., & Baskerville, R. L. (2021). How can organizations develop situation awareness for incident response: A case study of management practice. *Computers & Security*, 101, 102122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2020.102122>
- [13] Chen, Z., Kang, Y., Li, L., Zhang, X., Zhang, H., Xu, H., ... & Lyu, M. R. (2020, November). Towards intelligent incident management: why we need it and how we make it. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM Joint Meeting on European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering* (pp. 1487-1497). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3368089.3417055>
- [14] Hussain, A., Mohamed, A., & Razali, S. (2020, March). A review on cybersecurity: Challenges & emerging threats. In *Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on networking, information systems & security* (pp. 1-7). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3386723.3387847>
- [15] Balasubramanian, P., Nazari, S., Kholgh, D. K., Mahmoodi, A., Seby, J., & Kostakos, P. (2025). A cognitive platform for collecting cyber threat intelligence and real-time detection using cloud computing. *Decision Analytics Journal*, 14, 100545. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2025.100545>
- [16] Aminu, M., Akinsanya, A., Dako, D. A., & Oyedokun, O. (2024). Enhancing cyber threat detection through real-time threat intelligence and adaptive defense mechanisms. *International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research*, 13(8), 11-27. DOI:10.7753/IJCATR1308.1002
- [17] Kubba, A., Nasir, Q., Elmutasim, O., & Abu Talib, M. A Systematic Review of Honeypot Data Collection, Threat Intelligence Platforms, and Ai/ML Techniques. *Threat Intelligence Platforms, and Ai/ML Techniques*.
- [18] Corallo, A., Lazoi, M., Lezzi, M., & Luperto, A. (2022). Cybersecurity awareness in the context of the Industrial Internet of Things: A systematic literature review. *Computers in Industry*, 137, 103614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2022.103614>

- [19] Yazdanparast, M., Ghorbani, M., Salajegheh, A., & Kerachian, R. (2023). Development of a water security conceptual model by combining human-environmental system (HES) and system dynamic approach. *Water Resources Management*, 37(4), 1695-1709. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-023-03449-5>
- [20] Alimi, O. A., Ouahada, K., & Abu-Mahfouz, A. M. (2020). A review of machine learning approaches to power system security and stability. *IEEE Access*, 8, 113512-113531. DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3003568
- [21] Sarker, I. H., Khan, A. I., Abushark, Y. B., & Alsolami, F. (2023). Internet of things (iot) security intelligence: a comprehensive overview, machine learning solutions and research directions. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 28(1), 296-312. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-022-01937-3>
- [22] Reddy, A. R. P. (2021). The role of artificial intelligence in proactive cyber threat detection in cloud environments. *NeuroQuantology*, 19(12), 764-773. doi: 10.48047/nq.2021.19.12.NQ21280
- [23] Raza, H. (2021). Proactive cyber defense with AI: Enhancing risk assessment and threat detection in cybersecurity ecosystems. *Journal Name Missing*.
- [24] Salfati, E., Salfati, E., & Pease, M. (2022). *Digital forensics and incident response (dfir) framework for operational technology (ot)*. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8428>
- [25] Sundaramurthy, S. K., Ravichandran, N., Inaganti, A. C., & Muppalaneni, R. (2022). The future of enterprise automation: Integrating AI in cybersecurity, cloud operations, and workforce analytics. *Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review*, 3(2), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.69987/JACS.2024.40701>

